ORIGINAL



BEFORE THE ARIZONA COMPORATION COMMISSION 1 Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED 2 **COMMISSIONERS** 2006 OCT 13 P 2: 30 3 OCT 132006 JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL AZ CORP COMMISSION MIKE GLEASON DOCUMENT CONTROL **DOCKETED BY** KRISTIN K. MAYES 5 BARRY WONG 6 DOCKET NO. W-01537A-99-0100 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF SHEPARD WATER COMPANY FOR FINANCING 7 APPROVAL. 8 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. W-01537A-99-0296 9 SHEPARD WATER COMPANY FOR AN PROCEDURAL ORDER INCREASE IN RATES. 10 BY THE COMMISSION: 11 On November 19, 1999, the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") issued 12 Decision No. 62091 which authorized Shepard Water Company ("Shepard" or "Company") to enter 13 into a WIFA loan in the amount of \$299,475 and granted Shepard a rate increase. 14 On August 7, 2006, Shepard filed a Progress Report regarding completion of Phase I of the 15 surcharge authorized in Decision No. 62091 and requested authorization to proceed to Phase II of the 16 surcharge. 17 On September 12, 2006, by Procedural Order, the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") 18 was ordered to file a response to Shepard's request no later than September 29, 2006. 19 On September 29, 2006, Staff filed its response and recommended the following: 20 21 that the Company's request to implement the Phase II surcharge approved in Decision No. 62091 be denied; 22 that the Company prepare an accounting of all Phase I surcharge collections and file 23 with Docket Control, as a compliance item in this Docket, a summary of amounts collected as of the date of Shepard's response to this recommendation, but in no event 24 later than October 31, 2006; and 25 that the Company file both a financing application and a general rate application on or before December 31, 2006, using a September 30, 2006 test year. The filing should 26 also provide documentation to support the arsenic treatment facilities and indicate whether Shepard it is in compliance with Arizona Department of Environmental 27 Quality's ("ADEQ") safe drinking water requirements.

1

S:\Marc\Water\990100.doc

28

Staff further indicated that Shepard is in general agreement with its recommendations 1 2 described above. Accordingly, the Company should file a prompt response to this Procedural Order not later 3 than October 23, 2006, indicating whether it objects or agrees with the above recommendations by 4 5 Staff. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Shepard Water Company shall file, no later than 6 October 23, 2006, its response to the recommendations by Staff and whether it objects to or will 7 comply in a timely fashion with Staff's recommendations set forth above. 8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Presiding Officer may rescind, alter, amend, or waive 9 any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing. 10 of October, 2006 11 Dated this 12 13 14 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 15 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered 16 day of October, 2006 to: this 17 Wade Noble 1405 W. 16th Street, Ste. A 18 Yuma, AZ 85364 Attorney for Shepard Water Company 19 Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel 20 Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 21 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 22 Ernest G. Johnson, Director 23 **Utilities Division** ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 24 1200 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007 25 26 By: Molly Johnson 27 Secretary to Marc E. Stern

28